Revised 03/06 WDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



FORM TO BE USED IN FILING A COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 1983

(Prisoner Complaint Form)

All material filed in this Court is now available via the INTERNET. See Pro Se Privacy Notice for further information.

1. CAPTION OF ACTION 18 CV 6401
Full Name And Prisoner Number of Plaintiff: NOTE: If more than one plaintiff files this action and seeks in form auperis status, each plaintiff must submit an in forma pauperis application and a signed Authorization or the only plaintiff to bootsidered will be the plaintiff who filed an application and Authorization.
James Dean Kendrick # 1930/055
-VS-
Full Name(s) of Defendant(s) NOTE: Pursuant to Fed.R. Civ.P. 10(a), the names of <u>all</u> parties must appear in the caption the court may not consider a claim against anyone not identified in this section as a defendant. If you have more than six defendants ou may continue this section on another sheet of paper if you indicate below that you have done so. Officer Marisol Vasquez-Mobiley 4. E. Torres - I.D.# 602 Sat. Robert Mattick 5. N. Torres - I.D.# 700 Officer Richard Schell [Schill] 6. Harnischfeger-I.D.# 108 Note: This section has been continued on another sheet of paper
2. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION This is a civil action seeking relief and/or damages to defend and protect the rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the Jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to
8 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(3) and (4), and 2201.
3. PARTIES TO THIS ACTION PLAINTIFF'S INFORMATION NOTE: To list additional plaintiffs, use this format on another sheet of paper. Name and Prisoner Number of Plaintiff: James Dean Kendrick # 1930/055 Present Place of Confinement & Address: USP Allenwood, U.S. Penitentiary P.O. Box 3000 White Deer, PA 17887.
resent Place of Confinement & Address:
1656III I Iace of Commemon & Address.

1. CAPTION OF ACTION

- B. Full Name (5) of Defendant (5)
- 7. D. Gonzalez I.D. # 772
- 8. J. Sitton I.D. # 288
- 9. CJ Dominic I. D.# 255
- 10. Frank Fedele (Inv.) I.D.# 219
- 11. Celorio I.D.# 159
- 12. Rochester Police Department
- 13. City of Rochester
- 14. Anne Chase
- 15. Howard Kelin
- 16. County of Monroe

<u>DEFENDANT'S INFORMATION</u> NOTE: To provide information about more defendants than there is room for here, use this format on another sheet of paper.
Name of Defendant: Marisol Vasquez - Mobley [Now Retired]
(If applicable) Official Position of Defendant: Kochester Police Department Officer, I.D. # 422
(If applicable) Defendant is Sued in Individual and or Official Capacity
Address of Defendant: 150 5. Plymouth Ave. 3 or 185 Exchange Blvd. Public
Safety Building, Rochester, N.Y. 14614 (or 99 Exchange Blvd.)
Name of Defendant: Robert Mattick Possibly Retired working at Federal Building
(If applicable) Official Position of Defendant: Rochester Police Department Sergeant I-D.# 0265
(If applicable) Defendant is Sued in Individual and/or Official Capacity
Address of Defendant: 150 5. Plymouth Ave.; or 185 Exchange Blvd., Public
Safety Building, Rochester, N.Y. 14614 (or 99 Exchange Blvd.)
Name of Defendant: Richard Schell [Possibly a Surgeart Now]
(If applicable) Official Position of Defendant: Kochester Police Department Officer
(If applicable) Defendant is Sued in Individual and/or Official Capacity
Address of Defendant: 150 S. Plymouth Ave.; or 185 Exchange Blud. Public
Safety Building, Rochester, N.Y. 14614 (or 99 Exchange Blvd.)
Note: This section has been continued on another sheet of paper
4. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURT
4. FREVIOUS LAWSUITS IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURT
A. Have you begun any other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this action? Yes No X However I have filed a Notice of Interior to file a lawsuit with the New York Court of Claims.
If Yes, complete the next section. NOTE: If you have brought more than one lawsuit dealing with the same facts as this
action, use this format to describe the other action(s) on another sheet of paper. 1. Name(s) of the parties to this other lawsuit:
Plaintiff(s):
Defendant(s):
2. Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county):
3. Docket or Index Number:
4 Name of Judge to whom case was assigned:

5.	The approximate date the action was filed:						
6.	What was the disposition of the case?						
	Is it still pending? Yes No						
	If not, give the approximate date it was resolved						
Disposition (check the statements which apply): Dismissed (check the box which indicates why it was dismissed):							
	By court for failure to exhaust administrative remedies;						
	By court for failure to prosecute, pay filing fee or otherwise respond to a court order;						
	By court due to your voluntary withdrawal of claim;						
	Judgment upon motion or after trial entered for						
	plaintiff						
	defendant.						
If Yes	Have you begun any other lawsuits in federal court which relate to your imprisonment? Yes No						
1.	Name(s) of the parties to this other lawsuit:						
	Plaintiff(s):						
	Defendant(s):						
2.	District Court:						
3.	Docket Number:						
4.	Name of District or Magistrate Judge to whom case was assigned:						
5.	The approximate date the action was filed:						
6.	What was the disposition of the case?						
٥.	Is it still pending? Yes No						
	If not, give the approximate date it was resolved						

Disn	nissed (check the box which indicates why it was dismissed):
	By court <i>sua sponte</i> as frivolous, malicious or for failing to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;
	By court for failure to exhaust administrative remedies;
	By court for failure to prosecute, pay filing fee or otherwise respond to a cour order;
	By court due to your voluntary withdrawal of claim;
Judg	ment upon motion or after trial entered for
	plaintiff
	defendant.

5. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

For your information, the following is a list of some of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in proceedings under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (This list does not include <u>all</u> possible claims.)

- Religion
- Access to the Courts
- · Search & Seizure

- Free Speech
- False Arrest
- Malicious Prosecution

- Due Process
- Excessive Force
- Denial of Medical Treatment

- Equal Protection
- Failure to Protect
- Right to Counsel

Please note that it is not enough to just list the ground(s) for your action. You must include a statement of the facts which you believe support each of your claims. In other words, tell the story of what happened to you but do not use legal jargon.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a) states that a pleading must contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "The function of pleadings under the Federal Rules is to give fair notice of the claim asserted. Fair notice is that which will enable the adverse party to answer and prepare for trial, allow the application of res judicata, and identify the nature of the case so it may be assigned the proper form of trial." Simmons v. Abruzzo, 49 F.3d 83, 86 (2d Cir. 1995). Fed.R.Civ.P. 10(b) states that "[a]ll averments of claim ... shall be made in numbered paragraphs, the contents of each of which shall be limited as far a practicable to a single set of circumstances."

Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

Note that according to 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prison er confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted."

You must provide information about the extent of your efforts to grieve, appeal, or otherwise exhaust your administrative remedies, and you must attach copies of any decisions or other documents which indicate that you have exhausted your remedies for each claim you assert in this action.

A. FIRST CLAIM: On (date of the incident) beginning August 1, 1999 and continuing through, and until February 1, 2001 defendant (give the name and position held of each defendant involved in this incident) officer Mariso				
and the second s				
Vasquez-Mobley; Sat. Robert Mattick; Officer Richard Schell [Schill];				
Officer E. Torres; Officer N. Torres; Officer Harnischfeger; Officer				
did the following to me (briefly state what each defendant named above did): While acting in an				
investigating capacity the defendant's conspired to manufacture				
false evidence for use against Plaintiff James Dean Kendrick				
in criminal proceedings, and then did use said false				
evidence to deprive this Plaintiff of his liberty and				
property. The defendants conspired acted in concert,				
and aided and abetted each other to do whatever was				
necessary, lawful or not, to cause Plaintiff's arrest,				
The constitutional basis for this claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is: and 42 U.S.C. § 1985; Violatina				
Due Process Clause; (5th) Fifth Amendment; and (14th) Fourteenth Amendment				
The relief I am seeking for this claim is (briefly state the relief sought):				
punitive damages. Monetary payment for the time spent in				
prison and on parole supervision, and for duress and mental anguish.				
Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies for this Claim:				
Did you grieve or appeal this claim? Yes No If yes, what was the result? The conviction				
was vacated and remitted for further proceedings on the indictment				
Did you appeal that decision? Yes No If yes, what was the result?				
Attach copies of any documents that indicate that you have exhausted this claim. If you did not exhaust your administrative remedies, state why you did not do so:				
Note: This section has been continued on another sheet of paper.				
A. SECOND CLAIM: On (date of the incident) May 4, 2000,				
defendant (give the name and position held of each defendant involved in this incident) Sat. Robert				
Mattick; Officer Richard Schell [Schill]; Rochester Police				
Devartment: City of Rochester.				

did the following to me (briefly state what each defendant named above did):
by force with guns drawn, and did arrest this
Plaintiff, without an arrest warrant, without probable
cause without witnessing this Plaintiff commit any crime
or violation. Said seizure and arrest was based on and
a direct result of fabricated evidence, which evidence
the defendants knew to be false. Failed to oversee the
people who caused the wrong; Knew about the wrong but
The constitutional basis for this claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is: Violating (4th) Fourth
Amendment; (14th) Fourteenth Amendment; Illegal Search & Seizure;
The relief I am seeking for this claim is (briefly state the relief sought): and and
punitive damages. Monetary payment for the time spent in
prison and on parole supervision, and for duress and mental anguish.
Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies for this Claim:
Did you grieve or appeal this claim? Yes No If yes, what was the result? The conviction
was vacated and remitted for further proceedings on the
Did you appeal that decision? Yes No If yes, what was the result?
Attach copies of any documents that indicate that you have exhausted this claim.
If you did not exhaust your administrative remedies, state why you did not do so:
Note: This section has been continued on another sheet of paper.
If you have additional claims, use the above format and set them out on additional sheets of paper.
6. RELIEF SOUGHT
Summarize the relief requested by you in each statement of claim above.
Bullituit the title foliage to grant the outsite of the outsite outsi
·
Do won went a jury trial? Yes V No
Do you want a jury trial? Yes No

A. FIRST CLAIM:

defendant D. Gonzalez; Officer J. Sitton; Officer CJ Dominic; Inv. Frank Fedele; Officer Celorio; Rochester Police Department; City of Rochester; Assistant District Attorney Anne Chase; District Attorney Howard Relin; County of Monroe.

did the following to me: prosecution, pretrial detention, and that throughout the period of the conspiracy, the defendants pursued their objectives, gave untruthful, erroneous, incomplete and/or misleading statements and testimony against this Plaintiff; knew about the wrong but did not try to stop or fix it; failed to oversee the people who caused the wrong - failing to adequately train the staff; created a policy or custom that allowed the wrong to occur.

The constitutional basis for this claim under 42 u.s.c. \$ 1983 is:
Malicious Prosecution.

Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies for this Claim:

If yes, what was the result? by Order of the Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department, dated February 3, 2017. Then, on May 25, 2017, all of the remaining Counts of the Indictment were dismissed, in the interest of Justice.

of Justice.

A. SECOND CLAIM:

did the following to me: did not try to stop or fix it; created a policy or custom that allowed the wrong to occur.

The constitutional bases for this claim under 42 U.S.C. \$1983 is: False Arrest.

Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies for this Claim:

If yes, what was the result? indictment by Order of the Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department, dated February 3, 2017. Then, on May 25, 2017, all of the remaining Counts of the Indictment were dismissed in the interest

A. THIRD CLAIM: On or about May 3, 2000

defendant Officer Marisol Vasquez-Mobley; Rochester
Police Department; City of Rochester.

with reckless disregard for the truth, manufacture false evidence for use against this Plaintiff in criminal proceedings, then did use said false evidence to deprive this Plaintiff of his liberty, and his Constitutional right to be free from unlawful searches and seizures. Knew about the wrong but did not try to stop or fix it; failed to oversee the people who caused the wrong; created a policy or custom that allowed the wrong to occur.

The constitutional basis for this claim under 424.5.C.\$ 1983 is: Violating Due Process Clause: (5th) Fifth Amendment; and (14th) Fourteenth Amendment; (6th) sixth Amendment Right to Fair Trial.

The relief I am seeking for this claim is: Compensatory and punitive damages. Monetary payment for the time spent in prison and on parole supervision, and for duress and mental anguish.

Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies for this Claim:

Did you grieve or appeal this claim? Yes. If yes, what was the result? The conviction was vacated and remitted for further proceedings on the indictment by Order of the Appellate Division. Fourth Judicial Department, dated February 3, 2017. Then, on May 25, 2017, all of the remaining Counts of the Indictment were dismissed, in the interest of Justice.

A. FOURTH CLAIM: On May 4, 2000

defendant <u>Officer Marisol Vasquez-Mobley</u>; Rochester Police Department; City of Rochester,

did the following to me: did Knowingly, intentionally, and with reckless disregard for the truth, manufacture false evidence for use against this Plaintiff in criminal proceedings, then did use said false evidence to deprive, this Plaintiff of his liberty, and his constitutional right to be free from unlawful searches and seizures. Knew about the wrong but did not try to stop or fix it; failed to oversee the people who caused the wrong; created a policy or custom that allowed the wrong to occur.

The constitutional basis for this claim under 42 U.S.C. \$ 1983 is: Violating Due Process Clause; (5th) Fifth Amendment; and (14th) Fourteenth Amendment; (6th) Sixth Amendment Right to Fair Trial.

The relief I am seeking for this claim is: Compensatory and punitive damages. Monetary payment for the time spent in prison and on parole supervision, and for duress and mental anguish.

Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies for this Claim:

Did you grieve or appeal this claim? Yes. If Yes, what was the result? The conviction was vacated and remitted for further proceedings on the indictment by order of the Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department, dated February 3, 2017. Then, on May 25, 2017, all of the remaining Counts of the Indictment were dismissed in the interest of Justice.

A. FIFTH CLAIM: On Between May 4, 2000 and August 1, 2000, the exact date unknown as it was never disclosed.

defendant <u>Marisol Vasquez-Mobley</u>; Rochester Police

Department; City of Rochester.

did the following to me: did Knowingly, intentionally, and with reckless disregard for the truth, did give sworn false/perjured testimony before a grand jury. Knew about the wrong but did not try to stop or fix it; failed to oversee the people who caused the wrong; created a policy or custom that allowed the wrong to occur.

The constitutional basis for this claim under 42 U.S.C. \$1983 is: Violating Due Process Clause; (5th) Fifth Amendment; and (14th)

Fourteenth Amendment; (6th) Sixth Amendment Right to Fair Trial.

The relief I am seeking for this claim is: Compensatory and punitive damages. Monetary payment for the time spent in prison and on parcle supervision, and for duress and mental anguish.

Exhaustion of Your Administrative Remedies of this Claim:

Pid you grieve or appeal this claim? Yes. If yes, what was the result? The conviction was vacated and remitted for further proceedings on the indictment by Order of the Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department, dated February 3, 2017. Then, on May 25, 2017, all of the remaining Counts of the Indictment were dismissed in the interest of Justice.

of the Indictment were dismissed in the interest of Justice. 2017. Then on May 25, 2017, all of the remaining Counts Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department, dated February 3, further proceedings on the indictment by order of the The result? The conviction was vacated and vemitted SDM appeal this claim? Yes. It yes, what you grieve or pid Exhaustion of Your Administrative Kemedies for this Claim: in prison and on parole supervision, and for duress and mental anguish. and punitive damages. Monetary payment for the time spent relief I am seeking for this claim is : Compensatory Amendment; (6th) Sixth Amendment Right to Fair Trial. The constitutional basis for this claim under 424.5.C.\$ 1983 ;5: Violating Due Process Clause; (5th) Fifth Amendment; and (14th) Fourteenth this Plaintiff of his liberty, due process and a fair perjured testimony against this Plaintiff, to deprive suip of prioritest rish priparas ofui essessitime did the following to me: Intimidate and for Attorney Howard Kelin; County of Monroe. defendant Assistant District Attorney Anne Chase; District the exact date unknown as it was never disclosed, A. SIXTH CLAIM: On Between May 4, 2000 and August 1, 2000 Continued:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on May 25, 2018 (date)
NOTE: Each plaintiff must sign this complaint and must also sign all subsequent papers filed with the Court.
James Dean Kendrick
James Dean Kendrick, Pro Se
USP Allenwood, U.S. Penitentiary, P.O. Box 3000, White Deer, PA 17887 Signature(s) of Plaintiff(s)
Signature(b) of Framilia (b)



" EXHIBIT - A "



" EXHIBIT - A "

The time to file this lawsuit has been tolled as Plaintiff could not file this lawsuit until he got the conviction overturned. Enclosed is a copy of the Appellate Division Order; and a copy of the Transcript for the dismissal of all remaining Counts of the Indictment [2000-0345 B].

I James Dean Kendrick declare under penalty of perjury that I turned this legal mail into the hands of facility staff on May 25, 2018, and that for the purpose of filing, pursuant to the inmate "Mailbox Rule", this lawsuit should be deamed filed on May 25, 2018.

Sign: Games Dean Mendicke Date: May 25, 2018

Print: James Dean Kendrick

cc Files

CSUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

1225

KA 02-00049

PRESENT: SMITH, J.P., PERADOTTO, LINDLEY, DEJOSEPH, AND SCUDDER, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

JAMES KENDRICK, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

TIMOTHY P. DONAHER, PUBLIC DEFENDER, ROCHESTER (JAMES A. HOBBS OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

JAMES KENDRICK, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT PRO SE.

SANDRA DOORLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ROCHESTER (LEAH R. MERVINE OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

Appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Monroe County (Kenneth R. Fisher, J.), rendered February 1, 2001. The appeal was held by this Court by order entered May 8, 2015, decision was reserved and the matter was remitted to Supreme Court, Monroe County, for further proceedings (128 AD3d 1482). The proceedings were held and completed.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously reversed on the law, the plea is vacated, that part of the motion seeking to suppress physical evidence from the vehicle is granted, and the matter is remitted to Supreme Court, Monroe County, for further proceedings on the indictment.

Memorandum: Defendant appeals from a judgment convicting him, upon his plea of guilty, of criminal possession of a controlled substance in the second degree (Penal Law § 220.18 [1]). When this appeal was previously before us, we concluded that, as the People correctly conceded, Supreme Court (Fisher, J.) erred in determining that defendant lacked standing to challenge the legality of the police search of a vehicle in which a large quantity of cocaine was found in the back seat (People v Kendrick, 128 AD3d 1482, 1482-1483). We further concluded that the error was not harmless because there was a reasonable possibility that the error contributed to defendant's decision to plead guilty. Upon remittal, the court (Winslow, J.) conducted a suppression hearing, following which it refused to suppress the cocaine, ruling that the People proved that the driver of the vehicle voluntarily consented to the search of the vehicle, and that the warrantless search was therefore lawful. We now reverse.

"It is the People's burden to establish the voluntariness of

defendant's consent, and that burden is not easily carried, for a consent to search is not voluntary unless 'it is a true act of the will, an unequivocal product of an essentially free and unconstrained choice. Voluntariness is incompatible with official coercion, actual or implicit, overt or subtle' " (People v Packer, 49 AD3d 184, 187, affd 10 NY3d 915, quoting People v Gonzalez, 39 NY2d 122, 128). "An important, although not dispositive, factor in determining the voluntariness of an apparent consent is whether the consenter is in custody or under arrest, and the circumstances surrounding the custody or arrest" (Gonzalez, 39 NY2d at 128).

Here, defendant was a front seat passenger in the vehicle in which the cocaine was found by the police. The only other occupant was the driver, who owned the vehicle and consented to the police search. At the suppression hearing, the sole witness called by the People was the police officer who obtained consent to search from the driver. That officer acknowledged, however, that she was not involved in the stop of the vehicle and did not know the basis for the stop. She was unaware whether the driver committed any traffic infractions and did not know why the driver was taken into custody. According to the officer, she came into contact with the driver in an interview room at the police station at approximately 8:00 p.m., which was more than 4½ hours after the vehicle was stopped. The officer did not know who, if anyone, had questioned the driver before she entered the interview room; did not know whether anyone had advised him of his Miranda rights; did not know whether he had been handcuffed prior to her arrival; did not know whether he had been given any food or drink; and did not know whether he had been allowed to make any telephone The officer merely testified that the driver spontaneously told her during the interview that there was cocaine in the back seat of his vehicle, and that he then voluntarily consented to the search by signing a consent to search form.

We conclude that, "[b]ecause the People failed to present evidence at the suppression hearing establishing the legality of the police conduct, [the driver's] purported consent to the search of his vehicle was involuntary[,] and all evidence seized from the vehicle as a result of that consent should have been suppressed" (People v Purdy, 106 AD3d 1521, 1523; see Packer, 49 AD3d at 187-189). We therefore reverse the judgment, vacate the plea, grant defendant's omnibus motion insofar as it sought suppression of the cocaine found in the vehicle, and remit the matter to Supreme Court for further proceedings on the indictment.

In light of our determination, we do not address the contention raised by defendant in his pro se supplemental brief.

Entered: February 3, 2017 Frances E. Cafarell Clerk of the Court

(Defendant was brought into the courtroom.)

11.

12.

THE COURT: All right. This is James Kendrick appearing with Mr. Kasperek, and Mr. Clark appears on behalf of the People.

Yesterday, we talked about the fact that ABDM was reporting six counts in the indictment, and I was questioning whether Judge Winslow had already dismissed one count. Anybody have any information about that?

MR. CLARK: I actually sort of stopped looking into all of that after my office made a different determination. As I indicated to your Honor and to counsel yesterday, after our court appearance, I went back to the office. My office met with AUSA Andy Rodriguez. We had some further discussions about the merits of this case, the equities involved, and due to the fact that the defendant is now serving three life sentences after federal convictions, I don't believe this conviction would have any impact on that either way.

It's my understanding this case was still pending appeal when he was sentenced by Judge Geraci on the federal matter, and Judge Geraci specifically excluded this conviction from that consideration so it was not considered as far as points, and so on, and so forth. So due to that, at this point, the People are moving to withdraw these charges and dismiss the case in

the interest of justice, and given that, I did not further inquire as to which counts were still active.

THE COURT: You're moving to withdraw all the counts of the indictment?

MR. CLARK: Yes.

12.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kasperek?

MR. KASPEREK: Just two things, Judge. First of all, we appreciate the government's application regarding the circumstances. We would join in that. We would appreciate that all of Mr. Kendrick's property that was seized from him at the time, obviously nothing that involves contraband, would be returned to him. Because the indictment is being dismissed, that means the record is going to be sealed, and as you know, there are consequences regarding his initial conviction or arrest regarding this, so we would appreciate the sealing order would include his removal of DNA or any records from the CODIS file regarding this specific charge.

THE COURT: Mr. Clark?

MR. KASPEREK: Just one other thing, I apologize. As you know, as a consequence of the conviction, there were surcharges that were imposed upon my client as a consequence of that. Because of the motion by the government and our joining in that, those surcharges should be returned to him as well.

THE COURT: They have already been paid? 1 MR. KASPEREK: Yes, they have been paid. 2 THE COURT: All right. Anything you want to 3 4 say, Mr. Clark? MR. CLARK: Only thing I can possibly address 5 is just the property. I will look into that. This was 6 17 years ago, so I don't know what the retention policies 7 are as far as his personal property, but I will look into 8 that, and hopefully, if it's still there, I'll return it 9 to him. 10 MR. KASPEREK: The property may have been, 11 because of the federal charges, may have moved over to 12. the federal side. I don't know, but they may have moved 13 some stuff back and forth. We appreciate his efforts to 14 look into what's going on. 15 MR. CLARK: I can't sign on anything held by 16 the federal authorities, only the local holds. 17 MR. KASPEREK: We appreciate that. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: All right. People having moved to 19 withdraw the indictment, I will dismiss all counts of the 20 indictment. 21 MR. KASPEREK: Thanks, Judge. Finally, in 22 light of the circumstances, my client should be returned 23 24 to BOP.

THE COURT:

To VOP?

25

1	MR. KASPEREK: BOP, Bureau of Prisons.						
2	THE COURT: That's scheduled for later this						
3	week, I think.						
4	MR. CLARK: Tomorrow, my understanding is.						
5	MR. KASPEREK: Just making sure there is no						
6	delay regarding that circumstance.						
7	MR. CLARK: When I spoke with the jail, they						
8	told me he was scheduled to go back tomorrow morning.						
9	MR. KASPEREK: That sounds likely. Thanks						
10	very much.						
11	(Proceedings concluded.)						
12.							
13	CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT						
14							
15	aul () Res						
16	CAROL P. RAES, C.S.R., Senior Court Reporter						
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

Case 6:18-cv-06401-CJS Document 1 Filed 05/31/18 Page 24 of 25 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	1/1000	Jal -
James Dea	n Kendrick #193010	Marisol	Vasquez - Mo	pley	
(b) County of Residence			County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Monroe
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O ENDEMNATION CASES, USE T	
			THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number) Pro	Se	Attorneys (If Known)		
USP Allenwa	n Kendrick # 1930/09	>>			
11.5. Poniten	tiary P.O. Box 3000				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. C		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question 5		(For Diversity Cases Only) P7		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Cit	itizen of This State	I ☐ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in		itizen of Another State	2	
			itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance			625 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 365 Perso	onal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 367 Heal	th Care/	090 Odiei	Vicinia Schillerando Caracina)	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 		naceutical onal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted☐		act Liability stos Personal		830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine Injur	y Product		New Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liab Liability PERSONA	AL PROPERTY	LABOR	□ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 370 Othe ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 371 Truth		710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 380 Othe	r Personal	720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Proper ☐ 385 Proper ☐	erty Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Produ Medical Malpractice	act Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act		893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONEI		790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas C☐ 441 Voting ☐ 463 Alien		791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motion Sente	ons to Vacate	•	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 Gene	ral		20 030 7009	☐ 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Employment Other:		IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application		State Statutes
		lamus & Other 🔲 4	465 Other Immigration Actions		
	☐ 448 Education ☐ 555 Prison	n Condition	Actions		
	☐ 560 Civil Cond	Detainee - itions of			
V. ODYGW		nement			
	noved from 3 Remanded fi te Court Appellate Co		copened Another	rred from	- Litigation -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under w	hich you are filing	(specify) (Do not cite jurisdictional state	Transfer	Direct File
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	83 Civi Process: U			1 + 410.
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS	S ACTION "	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint: Prosec
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	# 10	0,000,000.00	JURY DEMAND:	Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE		-	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATU	JRE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD	110 = 1	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	2018	ames Dec	an Kondrid	e Prose	
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT APPL	YING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.